Reverse total shoulder versus angular stable plate treatment for proximal humeral fractures in over 65 years old patients
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Summary

Background: Treatment for displaced proximal humeral fractures is still under debate. Poor rotator cuff status and non-union of the tubercles in elderly patients has caused reversed total shoulder prosthesis growing popularity and showed promising results, even in comparison to angular stable plates fixation.

The primary outcomes are active ROM and shoulder function (Constant score). Secondary outcomes have been patient self-assessment form (Simple shoulder test) and radiographical details. Follow-up takes place at the moment of clinical observation with rx control.

Results: We analyzed a group of 23 patients treated by plate and screws and 21 patients treated by rTSA with these average results. ORIF: Flexion 112.8°, Abduction 99.6°, External rotation at 90° 47.4°; modal Internal rotation hand at Sacroiliac joint, Constant Score 52.9 and Simple Shoulder Test 8.0. RSA: Flexion 133.3°, Abduction 101.4°, External rotation at 90° of abduction 35.5°; modal Internal rotation hand at waist (L3), Constant Score 65.9 and Simple Shoulder Test 9.2. No nerve injuries were reported. No cases of pseudoarthrosis or plate fractures. No arthroplasty implant loosening, infection or dislocation was documented and revision required.

Conclusion: Our study shows good clinical outcomes and fewer complications in both treatment options. Better clinical and daily living results are reported in RSA compared with ORIF, confirming that rTSA is one of the best treatment in proximal humeral fractures in the elderly patients, which rotator cuff status frequently is poor and degenerating. The few radiological complications do not seem to have influence on active ROM and Constant Score, both the first and the second group of patients.

Level of evidence: Level IV, Case Series, Surgical.
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Introduction

Humeral proximal fractures represent 6-8% of all fractures and most of them are low-energy osteoporotic injuries in the elderly patients with an increasing incidence. Treatment for displaced proximal humeral fractures is still under debate with a constantly expanding range of options, several decades after the first recommendations for "modern treatment princi-
Humeral fractures in the elderly represent in general a considerable burden to the patients in terms of pain, loss of function and even mortality, making self-care and independent living difficult, thus interfering with quality of life.

In last years, in period from 1999 to 2005, there is evidence that surgical treatment has increased significantly. Concerning three- and four-part fractures, many surgeons decide for operative treatment, even if there is not scientific evidence that conservative approach is superior to surgery in displaced fractures.

If surgery is decided, the most frequent treatment modalities are open reduction and internal fixation with an angular stable plate, a locked intramedullary nail, mini mally open surgery with screws and cerclage wires or shoulder arthroplasty, total or hemi, widely used, or in the last few years with a reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. The purpose of this retrospective study was to compare and analyze clinical and radiological outcomes of three- and four-part proximal humeral fractures in two groups of patients older than 65 years, the first treated with ORIF, the second with RSA.

**Materials and methods**

From January 2009 to October 2014, in our Hospital a consecutive series of 73 patients over 65 years old underwent to surgery after a three- or four-part proximal humeral fracture. The fracture was classified by X-rays according to trauma series and CT scan in all patients. We excluded from the study 4 patients with an associated fracture (1 radius fracture, 3 femur fractures), 3 longstanding fractures operated more than 7 days after trauma, 2 radial nerve injuries, 7 patients died and 13 patients didn’t accept the clinical and radiological follow-up or were too old to come in hospital. Among the patients enrolled in the study, anyone had acute or chronic damage of the axillary nerve. We have followed 44 patients with a minimum follow-up of 12 months, analyzing functional, clinical and radiological outcomes by active range of motion, Constant’s score, Simple shoulder test and shoulder X-Ray by a true AP view and an axillary view. Three independent observers checked x-rays images (FA, AG, MM) and one (FA) performed clinical follow up evaluation.

Patients were divided in two groups: one group operated with ORIF by angle-stable plate PHILOS (PHLP-SYNTHES, Oberdorf, Switzerland) and one group with a reverse total shoulder arthroplasty SMR (LIMA Corporate, San Benedetto del Friuli, Italy).

All surgeries were performed within the first week from the injury. The choice of replacement or ORIF was due to shoulder clinical history before the trauma and CT cuff status, given and performed by two senior surgeons (LM, MB). A lateral approach was used in patients treated with plate and screws, to obtain a better synthesis of posterior fragments and good reduction of tuberosities with non-absorbable wires. We practiced a deltopectoral approach in patients treated by RSA because we found it comfortable to implant glenoid baseplate, control its inclination, eliminate axillary nerve injuries risk and above all, it doesn’t require deltoid detachment and attempt RSA success; in RSA we never cemented prosthesis and used a 40 or 44 mm glenosphere and humerus placed in 20° of retroversion. Greater tuberosity was repaired in every intervention and subscapularis tendon was sutured with no. 2 non-absorbable wires in 13 RSA and 8 was impossible to close.

Rehabilitation was different for the groups: patients treated by ORIF were placed in a sling for 3 weeks, therapy is initiated on postoperative day 1 with gentle, gravity-assisted pendulum exercises and avoided rotation for 7 weeks, active assisted rom exercises after 40th day Rx control; after 7th week patients began active rom exercises and rotation strengthening.

RSA intervention patients wore shoulder immobilizer with 15 degrees of abduction for 4 weeks, therapy initiated on postoperative day 1 with gentle, gravity-assisted pendulum exercises and avoided external rotation for 6 weeks; passive rom exercises for 4 weeks from the 2nd and active assisted and active rom exercises for 6 weeks until independent home exercises.

All data were described as number and percentage, or mean and standard deviation, or median and range where appropriated. Difference between group were explored with fisher exact test, or Wilcoxon test, where appropriated.

All analysis were made with the program Stata 13. A p less than 0.05 was considered as significant.

**Results**

In our series of 44 elderly patients, at a minimum follow-up of 12 months, all patients were satisfied with their shoulder, except one in RSA intervention (CS 40) and every patient had a good or excellent pain control. The patients’ average age was 73.2 years, with range 65-91 years. Patients were divided in 23 [16 (69.9%) women] operated with ORIF by angle-stable plate PHILOS (PHLP-SYNTHES, Oberdorf, Switzerland) and 21 [18 (85.7%) women] with a reverse total shoulder arthroplasty SMR (LIMA Corpo-
rate, San Benedetto del Friuli, Italy). The mean age of surgery was 77.2 ± 6.4 years for RSA and 72.1 ± 6.0 years for ORIF; the median number of months of follow-up was 28 (12-84) totally, respectively 24 (12-84) months for RSA and 40 (12-66) months for ORIF. In both groups was reported an improved degree of movements and an improvement of the clinical and functional conditions. External rotation with the arm at 90° of abduction is preferentially reported because it is the requested one in daily living activities. We found a statistically significant difference between the two groups analyzing forward flexion and Constant score value, respectively p 0.008 and 0.013, and external rotation with 90° of abduction, p 0.05.

The results are summarized in Table I.

The ORIF group average values were: Flexion 112.8°, Abduction 99.6°, External rotation at 90° 47.4°, modal Internal rotation hand at Sacroiliac joint, Constant Score 52.9 and Simple Shoulder Test 8.0. Concerning the RSA group, mean Flexion was 133.3°, Abduction 101.4°, External rotation at 90° of abduction 35.5°, modal Internal rotation hand at waist (L3), Constant Score 65.9 and Simple Shoulder Test 9.2.

Comparing the two groups, differences in: no axillary nerve injuries were reported; no cases of pseudoarthrosis or plate fracture; no arthroplasty implant loosening, infection or dislocation was documented and revision required.

**Radiological outcomes**

All patients were evaluated with a true AP view and a scapular Y view at the moment of follow-up, considering different parameters for the group. For ORIF group, no plates resulted with one screw cut-out (Fig. 1), 2 shoulders had a partial reabsorption of greater tuberosity (Fig. 2) and 1 of the lesser, 1 humeral heads went to partial necrosis. Regarding RSA group, inferior scapular notching according to Nerot classification was considered: notching observed as grade 0 in 19 shoulders and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. Results.</th>
<th>ORIF</th>
<th>RSA</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. of patients</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion</td>
<td>112.8 ± 21.0</td>
<td>133.3 ± 32.6</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction</td>
<td>99.6 ± 17.4</td>
<td>101.4 ± 19.0</td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External rotation (90° abduction)</td>
<td>47.4 ± 20.2</td>
<td>35.5 ± 15.6</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal rotation</td>
<td>Saroiliac joint</td>
<td>Waist (L3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 (39.1%)</td>
<td>7 (33.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>52.9 ± 17.0</td>
<td>65.9 ± 14.7</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>9.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 1. Fracture type C treated with ORIF.](image)
grade 1 in 2 asymptomatic shoulders. Glenoid radiolucency: no loosening of glenoid baseplate observed (Fig. 3), but non-progressive radiolucency around superior the superior screw was evaluated in 1 scapula. Humeral radiolucency: no loosening of humeral stem, but non-progressive lucent lines present in 1-2-7 zones in 1 shoulder. Tuberosities: partial lysis of greater tuberosity present in 1 shoulder and in the lesser tuberosity in 1 RSAs. A scapular spur present in 1 shoulder.

No heterotopic ossification in any case analyzed. Radiological complications do not seem to have influence on active ROM and Constant Score, both the first and the second group of patients.

Discussion

Several complications and impaired shoulder function are reported after different types of surgical treatment: the early reports of treatment with ORIF using angular stable plates were promising, but later the surgical management of severely displaced fractures and several problems and pitfalls stayed unsolved, as well as complications like cut-out of screws into the joint space, avascular humeral head necrosis, tuberosities reabsorption, loss of fixation, non-union and varus malalignment, a unique set of complications. Some of these complications are caused by poor surgical technique and may be avoidable.

Head replacement or shoulder hemi- or total arthroplasty has been used as a treatment option for three decades and has been claimed a better option than open reduction and fracture fixation in certain severely displaced and dislocated intracapsular fractures, even though the evidence is still not conclusive. Some related important problems are well known: poor rotator cuff status and non-union of the tuber-
If these main problems are avoided, patients may have a good outcome with these implants. In the elderly patients suffering a proximal humeral fracture, however, the status of the rotator cuff frequently is poor; several other Authors also report unsatisfactory results with hemi-arthroplasty: loss of ROM, non-union of tubercles in as many as 50% of the patients in some series and above all poor quality of the rotator cuff in functional outcome. Moreover, it’s proven that cuff tear and degeneration has a prevalence of almost 20% in a over 60 years old asymptomatic shoulders population, reaching 31% of patients aged from 70 to 79 years old and 51% of patients more than 80 years old. Obviously, a complex humeral fracture can upset the balance showed by these shoulders.

During the last decennium the use of RSAs has increased, both as a salvage procedure after failed hemi-arthroplasty but also in the primary fracture care. RSA has gained popularity and has showed promising results in the treatment for proximal humeral fractures in the elderly patients. A recent large database analysis of 32,150 shoulders underlined the growing importance of RSA in treating proximal humeral fractures in elderly, stating that from 2009 to 2012 the utilization rate of RSA nearly tripled for patients over 65 years old, passing from 11% to 29% of treatment choices, to the disadvantage of HA and a steady trend of ORIF.

There are some evidence in literature that shows better functional outcomes compared to hemi-arthroplasty. The objectives of this study were to compare two therapeutic methods commonly used to treat old patients with displaced proximal humeral fractures, ORIF and RSA: we noted a significant difference in quality of life of patients treated by reverse shoulder replacement compared with the other group, considering both the Constant score 52.85 for ORIF group versus 64.9 for RSA group (52.9 ± 17.0 vs 65.9 ± 14.7, p < 0.05) and Forward flexion and External rotation.

### Table II. Main references in treatment of proximal humerus fractures in old patients with RSA and ORIF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. nr</th>
<th>Number of patients treated</th>
<th>Surgical treatment</th>
<th>Method of evaluation</th>
<th>Main Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>28 elderly patients treated between 2003-2009</td>
<td>RSA</td>
<td>Survivorship and radiological exams</td>
<td>Good and clinical results at an average FU of 54.9 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>51 patients with a mean age of 77 years</td>
<td>RSA for acute fractures</td>
<td>Clinically and radiologically analyzed</td>
<td>Midterm results are predictably good with low complication rates after a mean FU of 35 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>150 proximal humeral fractures were treated operatively</td>
<td>HA, RSA and ORIF</td>
<td>Total use, annual utilization rates, age, and gender were investigated</td>
<td>Utilization rates of ORIF remained fairly constant. HA remains the most commonly used. Increase of RSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>40 patients treated between 1996-2004</td>
<td>21 pts treated with HA and 19 pts treated with RSA</td>
<td>CS/DASH score and X-ray exams</td>
<td>RSA provide reliable, rapid and predictable results in terms of ABD, FA and pain relief. RSA seem indicated on condition that the patient is no younger than 70 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Randomized semi-blinded controlled multicenter trial designed. Participants are aged 65-85 admitted in seven different hospitals</td>
<td>RSA and angle stable plates</td>
<td>Oxford shoulder score, 15D score, semi-blinded with blinded physiotherapists performing</td>
<td>NO already results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>92 geriatric patients treated between 2000-2004</td>
<td>angle stable plates</td>
<td>CS and radiological exams</td>
<td>Angle stabilising plates did not lead to a significant improvement functional outcome compared with other osteosynthesis procedures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tion 2 (p < 0.05). Our clinical results are comparable or better than other Authors’ literature, as summarized in Table II. One of causes of the excellent forward flexion and external rotation in activity daily living activities probably resides in the use of a 44 mm diameter glenosphere in RSA group, as stated by a recent biomechanical study.

All patients have been reviewed radiologically with x-ray images. Our study found better clinical outcomes and fewer complications respectively of most other Authors’ works. The advantage of the concept is less dependence on a normal rotator cuff, as the elderly population frequently suffer asymptomatic degenerative cuff tears leading to a poor prognosis. Tearing of the rotator cuff is a recognized complication after TSA: a secondary rotator cuff dysfunction rate of 17% following TSA was reported and significantly poorer clinical outcomes were found in these patients compared to those without rotator cuff dysfunction with a frequent secondary upper migration of the humeral head after anatomic TSA. In order to avoid the need for a conversion, a reverse prosthesis could be suggested in patients at higher risk, such as the elderly, where the incidence of cuff tears is higher.

Even so, recent findings in over 70 and 80 years old population suggest that age itself should not be considered a reason to implant a reverse instead of an anatomic TSA or thus to ORIF in fractures, but the preoperative cuff fatty infiltration grade is primary, in addition to clinical shoulder history. Recently improved prosthetic designs and improved technique have reduced the problem of notching, due to overhang of the scapular component, instability and poor rotation. RSA works only with a functional deltoid, axillary nerve and adequate bone stock to achieve satisfactory clinical results, thereby forgoing some of the variables necessary for the success with other treatments.

External rotation is not predictably restored following RSA. In some cases, the integrity of some external tendons, teres minor and part of infraspinatus, imply best functional results with a greater degree of external rotation. Finally, it has been suggested that recovery from reverse replacement may be faster than from an anatomic arthroplasty.

The study is not free of limitations in respect of 3 main reasons, with the related selection of bias errors: 1) its retrospective nature, although patients were a consecutive series; 2) the sample size, that was small (21 vs 23 shoulders); 3) some patients lost to follow-up. We compared two populations that have potentially different surgical indications. Anyway, we did not find any paper in literature that compares clinical results of RSA versus ORIF in these complex proximal humeral fractures, but a designed protocol for a randomized controlled trial that has not arrived yet to final follow-up clinical outcomes.

Conclusion
The study shows good clinical outcomes and fewer complications in both treatment options. Significant better clinical and daily living results are reported in RSA compared with ORIF, confirming that RTSA is one of the best treatment in proximal humeral fractures in the elderly patients, which rotator cuff status frequently is poor and degenerating. The increasing trend in the use of reverse prosthesis ensures an indication more and more safe in clinical and functional terms with less post-operative complications. The few radiological complications do not seem to have influence on active ROM and Constant Score, for both groups of patients.

Prospective studies with larger case series and with a longer follow-up are needed to further confirm the effectiveness in the use of reverse prosthesis for complex fractures of the proximal humerus.
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