Assessment of enthesitis in patients with psoriatic arthritis using clinical examination and ultrasound
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Summary

Background: Enthesitis is a major feature of psoriatic arthritis. However, clinical assessment of enthesitis is known to lack accuracy and have poor interobserver reliability.

Objective: To determine effect of training on clinical assessment of enthesitis and to compare ultrasonography with clinical examination for the detection of enthesal abnormalities.

Methods: 20 rheumatologists performed repeated assessment of enthesitis in patients with established psoriatic arthritis before and after a 2-hour training session in standardised enthesitis count according to Leeds Enthesitis Index (LEI) and Spondyloarthritis Research Consortium of Canada Enthesitis Index (SPARCC). Moreover, 20 patients underwent clinical and ultrasonographic examination of entheses to evaluate consensus-based elementary lesions of enthesitis.

Results: Training significantly increased Intraclass Correlation Coefficient for LEI from 0.18 to 0.82 and for SPARCC from 0.38 to 0.67. Ultrasound examination showed high associations between hypoechogenicity and increased thickness of the entheses and clinical examination. There was no correlation between erosions and enthesophytes found by ultrasound and clinical assessments.

Conclusion: Training in standardised enthesitis scoring systems significantly improved clinical assessments of enthesitis and should be performed before use in daily clinical practice. Ultrasound revealed more advanced stages of enthesitis, such as enthesophytes and erosions, which were not detected with clinical examination.
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Introduction

One of the key pathological features of psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is enthesitis. Enthesitis is defined as inflammation at the insertion of the tendons, ligaments, and capsules into bone. Recent registry and clinical trials have reported enthesitis in 30 to 50% of PsA patients¹. The OMERACT 7 International Consensus Conference on Outcome Measures in Rheumatology recognized the clinical importance of enthesitis, in addition to the assessment of peripheral joint disease, in PsA². Enthesitis should be measured as an indicator of disease activity and treatment response in patients with PsA. So far, very few clinical trials in patients with PsA have determined the degree of enthesitis, and methods have typically not been validated for use in patients with PsA².

Conventionally, enthesitis has been assessed by clinical examination and several enthesitis assessment tools exist (Tab. I). Latest Spondyloarthitis Research Consortium of Canada enthesitis index (SPARCC) and Leeds enthesitis index (LEI) have been developed. SPARCC was created as a measure for enthesitis in spondyloarthritis in general (not limited to PsA or Ankylosing Spondylitis)⁴ and has not been exten-
Enthesitis has been extensively validated in PsA. LEI has been developed for measuring enthesitis in patients with PsA. However, all the scoring systems are known to have limitations in terms of reliability, validity, and sensitivity. Another limitation of clinical enthesitis count is the specificity of the finding of tenderness in these areas. Many of the entheseal points are relatively near to joints and accepted tender points for fibromyalgia, raising the possibility of misclassification.

Imaging modalities such as ultrasound (US) have been investigated to determine whether they improve enthesal disease assessment. Studies have shown that US indices for enthesitis are more sensitive than clinical examination. The OMERACT US Specialist Interest Group has achieved agreement on US definition of enthesitis and its elementary components to ensure a higher degree of homogeneity and comparability of results between studies and in daily clinical work. However, the value of US findings at the enthesis is not investigated fully. Although Doppler sign at the enthesis is found more frequently in patients with PsA, as compared to healthy controls, it can also be seen in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Bone changes such as entheseophytes and erosions may also be found as degenerative changes in weight-bearing entheses. Furthermore, the application of US in daily practice in patients with PsA is limited by the time required to examine multiple sites of enthesitis.

Since clinical enthesitis is a hallmark feature of PsA, there is a need for improvement of clinical assessment of enthesitis and evaluation of the benefits of US as an outcome measure in daily practice. The aim of this study was to examine if training in standardised assessment of enthesitis according to LEI and SPARCC is able to improve interobserver variation in patients with established PsA. Furthermore, we aimed to compare US and clinical assessment of enthesitis to determine the added value of US in enthesitis assessment.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

Outpatients with PsA according to Classification Criteria for Psoriatic Arthritis (CASPAR criteria) were enrolled from the Departments of Rheumatology, Aalborg University Hospital, Denmark, during routine presentation to the clinic. The inclusion criteria were established PsA requiring systemic treatment with disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs. Exclusion criteria were treatment with biological drugs or treatment with oral corticosteroids. All patients taking NSAIDs were asked to stop taking their medication a minimum of 24 hours before clinical and US assessments to avoid the immediate analgesic and anti-inflammatory response to NSAID and in order to compare results with previous studies. All participants gave their informed consent, and the study was approved by the regional ethics committee, Northern Region Denmark. Furthermore the study meets the ethical standards of the journal.
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Clinical assessment with instruction session

Four patients and one healthy control were assessed for enthesitis with the SPARCC index and LEI by 20 rheumatologists. All the rheumatologists obtained enthesitis scores in patients and the control subject before and after a formal group training session to validate the effect of training. In the training session a senior rheumatologist experienced in enthesitis scoring systems, demonstrated enthesitis assessment in a patient using the SPARCC index and LEI. Enthesitis was defined as tenderness at the site of an enthesis with a standard palpation approach, applying ~ 4 kg/cm² pressure (enough to blanch the tip of the examiner’s fingernail). Tenderness on examination was recorded as either present (1) or absent (0) for each of the sites. The sites of examination are described in Table I. Higher scores reflect greater enthesitis burden. The healthy control was assessed to evaluate the potential risk of higher scores at the second assessment after the training session.

US assessment compared to clinical assessment

In a different setting, 20 patients (the 4 patients mentioned above and another 16 patients) with PsA were examined with US [Grey Scale (GS) and power Doppler (PD)] by a rheumatologist experienced in sonography (with over 8 years’ experience in musculoskeletal US). Another trained rheumatologist performed the clinical examination on the same day as US scans. The patients underwent examination with enthesitis score using LEI and SPARCC, psoriasis severity was scored using the Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) and Disease Activity Score DAS66/68 (CRP) was calculated. The sonographer was blinded to the clinical examination findings of the patients. A HITACHI HA710 machine with an 18MHz linear probe L75 was used for examination of all patients. PD was assessed using a pulse repetition frequency of 750 Hz and medium wall filter, and gain was adjusted until background signal was removed. The sonographic assessments were made at each of the LEI and SPARCC enthesis sites except for the trochanter major region. US of trochanter major region acquires another probe and therefore data cannot be compared with findings at other sides. Each tendon was scanned in both the longitudinal and transverse planes. The following sites were evaluated bilaterally: supraspinatus insertion, lateral and medial epicondyle, medial condyle of the femur, superior and inferior pole of the patella, Achilles tendon insertion, and plantar aponeurosis insertion. The patients were positioned as in previous studies. The supraspinatus tendon insertion was examined with the patient seated and the hands resting on the knee. The lateral and medial epicondyle insertions were evaluated with the patient seated, the hand resting on the knee with the elbow slightly flexed, and the wrist in slightly internal rotated. Knee enthesis examination was performed with the patient in the supine position and the knee flexed at 70°. The Achilles tendon and the plantar aponeurosis were examined with the patient lying prone and the feet hanging over the edge of the examination table at 90° of flexion. The US exploration evaluated the following lesions at each site:

1. Hypoechoegenicity and increased thickness of the tendon insertion
2. Enthesophytes
3. Calcifications
4. Erosions
5. Power Doppler signal at enthesis (PD).

These 5 parameters were recently suggested and defined by the European League Against Rheumatism-OMERACT US Group. Enthesal thickening was scored as present if there was a discrepancy in the thickness at the contralateral enthesis or if the normal entheseal contour appeared bulky. No attempt was made to measure the thickness of the enthesis due to difficulties in standardizing the measurement site. US score of enthesitis was calculated as follows: one point was scored for each abnormality at each site examined, giving a possible total score of 80 points on both sides.

Sonographic images were stored and rescored blindly by the investigator.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata: Release 13 (Stata Corp LP, TX, US). The data on clinical examination before and after the instruction session are presented as the mean and range of the scores. The interobserver variability of the physicians’ assessments of SPARCC index and LEI before and after the training session was assessed in an analysis of Intraclass Correlation Coefficients (ICC) in a two-way random effects model. Bootstrapping test was used to compare the ICC of the SPARCC index and LEI before and after instruction. Regarding the US examination, scatter plots and Spearman correlation coefficients were used to assess the relationships between each score system and US outcomes and health assessment (CRP and DAS66/68). Differences were considered significant with a p-value of <0.05 (two-tailed).

Results

Patient characteristics

Twenty patients with PsA participated in the study. The patients had a mean ± SD age of 49±9.9 years, mean ± SD disease duration of 18.1±2.3 years, mean ± SD disease activity score (DAS) of 2.9±1.0, mean ± SD PASI of 3.1±3.8, 74.8% were in therapy with methotrexate and 50.3% used NSAID on weekly basis.
Enthesitis score and interobserver reliability

Comparing ICCs for LEI and SPARCC index before and after training showed significantly higher ICC after training for both indices. ICC for LEI increased from 0.18 to 0.82 and for SPARCC from 0.38 to 0.67 (Tab. II).

An increase in both SPARCC and LEI enthesitis scores were found after training. LEI score increased by 1.95 points (95% Confidence Interval [CI] 1.47-2.43) and SPARCC score increased by 1.78 points (95% CI 1.16-2.39). The control person had no enthesitis before or after training. LEI and SPARCC index revealed only a moderate correlation with DAS66/68 (r = 0.50 for LEI and r = 0.34 for SPARCC index), and there were no significant correlations between CRP levels and the two enthesitis scores.

Correlation between clinical assessments and US findings

US results showed a moderate correlation between total US score and LEI (r = 0.50) and SPARCC index (r = 0.47) (Figs. 1, 2). Looking at the different US parameters, there was high correlation between hypoechogenicity and tendon thickness and LEI (r = 0.81) and SPARCC score was also significantly correlated with hypoechogenicity and tendon thickness (r = 0.86). There were no correlations between PD and LEI and SPARCC.

Discussion

Enthesitis occurs in approximately 48% of patients with PsA and at first visit, approximately 35% of the patients present with enthesitis. Several clinical and US outcome measures for enthesitis in patients with PsA exists; however, their clinical use may be limited by time restraints and lack of training and consensus in the use of these tools.

The present study showed a significant increase in ICC after training for both LEI and SPARCC scores. It is important to note that the ICCs obtained before the training session were very low. Furthermore, the increase in reliability after the training session suggests the need for training in standardised enthesitis counts before using such indices in clinical practice. Similarly, both LEI and SPARCC enthesitis scores increased significantly after training, reflecting an increased ability to detect enthesitis burden.
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Table III. Correlation between US findings and LEI and SPARCC Enthesitis Index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US parameters</th>
<th>LEI</th>
<th>SPARCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypo-echogenicity and tendon thickness</td>
<td>( r = 0.81^{**} )</td>
<td>( r = 0.86^{**} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcification</td>
<td>( r = 0.45^{*} )</td>
<td>( r = 0.22 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthesophytes</td>
<td>( r = 0.09 )</td>
<td>( r = 0.05 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosions</td>
<td>( r = 0.05 )</td>
<td>( r = 0.12 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Doppler</td>
<td>( r = 0.26 )</td>
<td>( r = -5.5e-03 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LEI: Leeds Enthesitis Index; SPARCC: Spondyloarthritis Research Consortium of Canada; \( r \): Spearman's Correlation Coefficient; \(*\): \( p < 0.05 \); \(^{**}\): \( p < 0.01 \).

US examination in this study revealed a significant correlation between clinical enthesis scores and US findings of hypoechoogenicity and tendon thickness. However, examination did not detect bone changes found using US. Comparing LEI to SPARCC, both scores were similar in aspect of ICC and detection of enthesis burden before and after instruction. Additionally both scores correlated similarly with US findings.

The poor association between PD and enthesis scores in our study might be explained by the fact that there are fewer vessels in inflamed enthesis compared with synovium making it harder to visualize\(^{22,23}\). US examinations were assessed in positions previously described\(^{12}\) but not fully relaxed positions, and this may also contribute to reduction of the sensitivity of PD\(^{24-27}\). Previous studies by Gutierrez et al.\(^{28}\), Koenig et al.\(^{29}\) and Zappia et al.\(^{30}\) revealed changes in intratendinous PD related to joint position. The position of the joints for the evaluation of PD at the enthesis is an important limitation of this study. Examination positions for US should be studied to evaluate the optimal position for PD. Only few patients had PD at the enthesis; therefore, it was not possible to evaluate at which site the PD was better correlated to clinical examination. Furthermore, the small study population could have affected our results. The US examination was not performed in a control group, and some of the findings may be due to degenerative changes developed with age. However, the study group was relatively young.

In addition, a relatively small number of patients was clinically assessed for enthesis before and after instruction and there is a need for further studies to validate specific enthesis scores and to determine the value of formal instruction and training. Interobserver variation in joint count scores has previously been described and training has been found to result in a reduction in the interobserver variability of joint counts\(^{31}\). This study indicates the need for training in enthesis scores in patients with PsA in the daily clinical settings. Our training exercise was brief and informal, yet it was followed by a significant reduction in the interobserver variation.

Both enthesis scores were only moderately associated with DAS66/68. As outpointed in previous studies, DAS66/68 will likely underestimate the burden of disease in PsA and it’s multiple domains should be assessed\(^{32,33}\). We found no correlation between CRP levels and enthesis scores. In a previous study of ankylosing spondylitis enthesis was only correlated to high sensitive CRP and not routine CRP test\(^{34}\), indicating minimal influence of enthesis on CRP. Overall CRP seems to be a more important marker of disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis\(^{35}\) than in PsA\(^{36}\).

US findings in enthesis are often split into features of soft-tissue inflammation (hypoechoogenicity, tendon thickness and PD) and features of tissue damage (bone changes) to reflect the reversible and irreversible pathological components of enthesis. Similar to a previous study\(^{17}\), our US results suggests that enthesophytes and erosions are more chronic findings, not detectable with clinical examination. However, in a recent study of the OMERACT US Task Force it was not possible to reach an agreement on a definition of how to separate acute inflammation from chronic bone changes\(^{37}\). Furthermore, a previous study have shown that calcifications and enthesophytes found using US might be a common pathology in trauma and degenerative changes in the general population increasing with age\(^{38}\). Another issue to consider when using US for enthesis is the correlation between US findings and disease activity and response to therapy. In a 6-month study of 197 patients with various spondylarthropathies (including PsA) the Authors demonstrated improvement in the morphologic abnormalities of the tendon as well as PD, but there were no changes in calcifications or erosions\(^{39}\). Further longitudinal studies in larger PsA populations are needed to decide how to interpret and use US findings.

In conclusion, this study shows that a two hour training session were able to significantly improve the reliability of the enthesis assessment. Enthesitis score training in a standardized examination technique could potentially minimize interobserver variation. In addition, US may be more sensitive for the evaluation of bone changes, but further longitudinal studies are needed to determine if these findings correlate with disease activity and response to treatment. Since LEI is less time-consuming than SPARCC index, it might be more feasible to use in daily practice.
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