Longitudinal tear of the biceps brachii from peripheral intravenous catheter infiltration
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Summary

Background: although peripheral intravenous catheter (PIV) infiltration is a frequent hospital occurrence, muscle rupture is a previously unknown complication of line infiltration. We present the case of a 21-year-old male with a history of cystic fibrosis, bilateral lung transplant, and chronic corticosteroid use, with longitudinal tear of the biceps brachii muscle as a complication of PIV infiltration.

Methods: case report describing a unique case of a longitudinal tear of the biceps brachii.

Results: magnetic resonance imaging revealed longitudinal tear of the biceps brachii with sparing of the proximal and distal tendons. Nerve conduction studies and electromyography revealed bicipital denervation, most likely due to mechanical compression.

Conclusion: we hypothesize that the patient's chronic corticosteroid use predisposed him to muscle injury, as did basilic vein thrombosis caused by PIV infiltration, and this combination of factors led to bicipital rupture. To our knowledge, this is also the first case report to document longitudinal tear of the biceps brachii with sparing of the distal and proximal tendinous insertions of the muscle.
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Case Report

Background

A 21-year-old male with a history of cystic fibrosis and lung transplant in 2007, on chronic prednisone and tacrolimus, presented to the hospital with shortness of breath in March 2011. The patient was admitted to an intensive care unit and intubated, and a peripheral intravenous catheter (PIV) was placed in the right antecubital fossa. The patient had diffuse swelling of the right upper extremity consistent with PIV infiltration that began 5 days later, and the PIV was moved to the opposite limb. This swelling occurred acutely over the course of a few hours. The patient was extubated 2 days later (hospital day 7), the patient was noted to have diffuse right upper limb pain and inability to perform elbow flexion. He also described anesthesia and paresthesias over the dorsum and palmar aspects of the first two digits as well as the thenar eminence and lateral forearm.

As the patient's swelling subsided over the two to three days following extubation, pain became localized to the medial biceps in the middle third of the muscle belly. Pain was reproducible with active flexion of the right elbow (which the patient was only able to perform up to 15 degrees), passive flexion of the right elbow, and palpation of the area. There was also bruising noted in the area of pain and tenderness, with no history of trauma. In addition to the noted weakness of elbow flexion, the patient had weakness of elbow supination (2/5 strength), though he was noted to have full active range of motion. Ultrasonography with Doppler of the right upper extremity was performed two days after swelling began which showed no evidence of venous occlusion.

One week after our initial consultation of the patient (week 3 of the patient's hospitalization), the patient's pain and weakness had not improved, though swelling had fully resolved. At this time, a repeat ultrasound with Doppler was performed which demonstrated an occluding thrombus in the most distal 6 cm of the basilic vein, which was not seen on the prior ultrasound. Concomitantly, magnetic resonance imaging of the right arm showed a longitudinal region of increased T2 and increased T1 signal tracking along the medial aspect of the biceps muscle belly and within the interspace between the biceps tendons consistent with an intramuscular hematoma along a longitudinal tear in the biceps muscle (Figs. 1, 2). Distal and proximal biceps tendons were spared. The
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Methods
A literature review was conducted using PubMed and the above key words. To our knowledge, there are no known case reports describing muscle strain, rupture, or tear secondary to PIV infiltration. Additionally, we are unaware of any case reports describing longitudinal biceps brachii tear with sparing of the distal and proximal tendons. Longitudinal biceps tears are usually associated with rotator cuff lesions and are generally degenerative, chronic processes accompanied by proximal biceps tendon ruptures. Therefore, this case of an acute cause of longitudinal biceps tear is most unusual. Additionally, this case report and literature overview was conducted in accordance with international standards and ethical standards.

Discussion
The most common etiologies of biceps ruptures are due to contiguous muscle tears from proximal or distal tendon ruptures. As noted above, proximal tendon ruptures are often related to degenerative disease of the rotator cuff. This is anatomically correct, as the proximal short head of the biceps originates from the coracoid process and the long head originates at the supraglenoid tubercle. This predisposes the long head of the biceps to injury associated with rotator cuff pathology. The insertion of this muscle is at the ulnar portion of the antebrachial fascia and the radial tuberosity. The radial tuberosity is the site of distal biceps tendon rupture, which is less common and tends to occur acutely during eccentric muscle contraction, with associated hematoma formation. Operative treatment is preferred in the acute setting while conservative management tends to garner favor in the chronic setting.

Though this patient was on chronic immunosuppressive therapy, tacrolimus has never been shown to cause abnormalities in muscle. The patient was also on chronic mid-range dosing of prednisone (30 milligrams twice daily) which has been associated with many musculoskeletal disease states, including steroid myopathy, tendon rupture, and generalized muscle weakness. It can be inferred that the patient’s chronic use of corticosteroids may have predisposed him to the muscle tear that occurred. We hypothesize that this occurred when the PIV infiltrated, which resulted in basilic vein thrombus formation, leading to mechanical compression and stretch on muscle fibers already weakened by chronic prednisone use. While we appreciate that the hypothesized mechanism of injury is based upon conjecture, we feel that this is the most plausible explanation of
the patient’s biceps tear. Other conceivable explanations include eccentric contraction of the biceps, trauma to the area that may have occurred during a transfer or turn while the patient was intubated and sedated, compartment syndrome from acute edema leading to neuropathy and muscular tear, and hematoma formation due to the infiltration of the PIV which has been misinterpreted as an intramuscular rupture. We feel that this truly was an intramuscular rupture as the magnetic resonance images were reviewed by two separate faculty Radiologists who came to the same conclusion. We also feel that our explanation is the most likely, given that there is no evidence of injury to the proximal or distal bicipital tendon, which would be expected in any sort of traumatic rupture of the biceps brachii.

Minimal motor loss would be expected secondary to the muscle tear, as the tear was longitudinal and the tendons were spared. The patient’s motor deficits were more likely the result of musculocutaneous neuropathy leading to diminished neurologic input to the muscle fibers, which can explain the patient’s initial profound weakness in elbow flexion. It is more difficult to determine the etiology of the patient’s sensory deficits initially. He had sensory loss in the lateral forearm as well as the dorsum and palmar aspects of the first two digits and the thenar eminence. This seems to correlate with sensory loss in both median and radial nerve distributions. When the patient was re-evaluated, the sensory loss remained only in the lateral aspect of the forearm, and electrodiagnostic studies were undertaken to determine if a nerve injury could be diagnosed. Unfortunately, lateral antebrachial cutaneous sensory nerve stimulation was not technically possible on either side. However, 2+ fibrillation and 2+ positive sharp waves were seen in the right biceps brachii, indicative of active denervation. Large amplitude, long duration polyphasic motor unit action potentials were also noted in the right biceps brachii, which suggests neuropathic injury to this muscle. Unfortunately, no other muscles were tested to isolate a specific nerve injury. It is possible that the initial, more widespread anesthesia and paresthesias were due to compression of multiple nerves due to soft tissue edema. The patient was clinically noted to have soft tissue swelling throughout the upper extremity prior to our consultation, but no mention of tenseness or concern for compartment syndrome was mentioned. Once the edema had resolved, the patient continued to have sensory deficits in the specific distribution of the lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerve.

Traditionally, MRI has been the diagnostic modality of choice for detecting rupture of any portion of the biceps. Recently, Authors have shown excellent accuracy in the detection of distal biceps tendon ruptures with ultrasound using an anterior viewing approach, due to the capability of detecting the hypoechogenicity of the associated hematoma. Giuffre and Lisle describe the ability to detect distal biceps tear using a posterior approach. Based on these factors, a single imaging technique could have diagnosed both of this patient’s conditions – thrombosis and muscle tear. There is an obvious cost-saving measure in obviating the need for MRI, as well. Unfortunately, our hospital did not have musculoskeletal ultrasonographic capability at the time that this case occurred.

Another important point in this case report is the role of re-siting peripheral intravenous catheters. Most hospitals have policies in place to rotate PIVs every 96 hours. Interestingly, a recent study did not show significance in morbidity between re-siting PIVs based on clinical indication (i.e. phlebitis or catheter failure) or “routine re-siting” every 96 hours. There has been a recent trend towards replacing/re-siting PIVs only in the setting of clinical failure of the existing PIV, but this is a case in which the morbidity associated with a PIV might have occurred as a direct result of the phlebitis associated with the PIV. That is to say, re-siting the IV might have only ensured that the damage already done was not worsened.

Furthermore, there is no one medically accepted protocol for PIV insertion, care, and removal. This was demonstrated amongst a survey of 266 anesthesiologists discussing this topic in regards to operating room PIVs. Another research study recommended changing PIVs only when clinical complications arose. Yet another recommended flushing PIVs only once every 24 hours to avoid multiple flushes and manipulations of PIVs which can be cost ineffective and cause more harm with additional PIV manipulation. A study of 458 children recommended that most PIVs are kept in too long and in addition to earlier catheter changes they recommended to avoid PIVs placement in the antecubital fossa. PIV’s placed in the hand had far less complications including dislodgement, occlusion, and phlebitis when compared to those in the antecubital fossa. A study of 3,283 patients with approximately 5,900 catheters in a secondary analysis of a randomized control trial also recommended a more distal insertion sites due to decreased incidence of occlusion, phlebitis and dental removal and in addition to better selection criteria for appropriate PIV diameter size and insertion by an intravenous access teams or other specialists. There is no one protocol for PIV care. The above studies can hopefully lend guidance to minimizing PIV complications.

In conclusion, we have described a case of a patient who suffered from a longitudinal tear of the biceps brachii with sparing of the bicipital tendons in the setting of basilic vein thrombosis, caused by PIV infiltration and basic recommendations to consider in regards to PIV complications. This can now be added to the differential diagnosis of muscle rupture, especially in patients with a history of chronic corticosteroid usage. Although we were not able to demonstrate neuropathy of the lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerve on nerve conduction study, the patient’s symptoms correlate clinically with this sensory loss/impairment distribution, and are consistent with the mechanism of compression injury from edema given the path and location of the nerve. We hypothesize that compres-
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sion of a portion of the radial nerve may account for the initial sensory deficits in the area of the thumb.
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