Regeneration of injured skeletal muscle after the injury
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Summary
Muscle injuries are one of the most common traumas occurring in sports. Despite their clinical importance, few clinical studies exist on the treatment of these traumas. Thus, the current treatment recommendations for muscle injuries have either been derived from experimental studies or been tested only empirically. Although non-operative treatment should almost always be the 1st choice as it results in good functional outcomes in the majority of athletes with muscle injuries, the consequences of failed treatment can be very dramatic, possibly postponing an athlete’s return to sports for weeks or even months. Moreover, the recognition of some basic principles of skeletal muscle regeneration and healing processes can considerably help in both avoiding the imminent dangers and accelerating the return to competition. Accordingly, in this review, the authors have summarized the prevailing understanding on the biology of muscle regeneration in hopes of extending these findings to clinical practice in an attempt to propose an evidence-based approach for the diagnosis and optimal treatment of skeletal muscle injuries.
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Mechanisms of skeletal muscle injury
Muscle injuries are one of the most common injuries occurring in sports, their frequency varying from 10 to 55% of all the sustained injuries1,2. Almost all of those seem to involve only four muscle groups, hamstrings, adductors, quadriceps and calf muscles1. Muscle injuries can be of shearing type (caused by contusion, strain or laceration), in which the muscle fibers and their basal lamina and myosial sheaths as well as the nearby capillaries all rupture2-4. In the other type of injury, in situ necrosis (or rhabdomyolysis), the myofibers are partially necrotized while the basal lamina and myosial sheaths as well as the adjacent blood vessels remain intact2. Over 90% of all sports related injuries are either contusions or strains, whereas muscle lacerations are uncommon injuries in sports4. Muscle contusion occurs when a muscle is subjected to sudden, heavy extrinsic compressive force, such as a direct blow, i.e. the injury is not a consequence of the intrinsic force of the exercise itself. In strains, the myofibers are exposed to an excessive intrinsic tensile force. Their severity vary from very mild strain injury like delayed onset muscle soreness (DOMS) to “real” strains, shearing type of muscle injuries, in which myofibers and the associated connective tissue structures including blood vessels are ruptured5. “Real” muscle strains induced by exercise do not differ in their regenerative response in a significant way from those contusions or lacerations.

Clinical classification of muscle strains
The clinical picture of a muscle strain depends on the extent and nature of the muscle destruction and the hematoma that develops at the site of the injury. In exercise induced strains the hematoma is most often intramuscular. The extravasated blood within the intact muscle fascia increases intramuscular pressure, which subsequently compresses the bleeding blood vessels and thereby eventually limits the size of the hematoma. In a severe strain the epimysium of the injured muscle may also rupture and then an intermuscular hematoma develops. DOMS may be considered the mildest form of strain injury, but since muscle fibers are not torn in DOMS, many do not regard it as a strain injury. Even the name is actually just a symptom, but since it is well known and widely used, we also employ it as a surrogate for the lacking pathogenetic term. Based on the clinical impairment muscle injuries have traditionally been classified as mild, moderate or severe6. Mild (grade I) strain represents a tear of only few muscle fibers with minor swelling and discomfort accompanied with no or only minimal loss of strength and restriction of the movements (ability to mobilize). Moderate (grade II) strain,
in turn, is a greater damage of the muscle with a clear loss of function (ability to contract). Severe (grade III) strain occurs when a tear extends across the entire cross-section of the muscle (a very rare consequence of excessive intrinsic force alone) and thus results in a virtually complete loss of muscle function.

The traditional classification system described above does not take into account the exact location of the injury, which with the current capabilities and availability of modern imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance (MRI) and ultrasound (US) imaging, can now be exactly identified. Chan et al. have recently described a new, very practicable classification system based on the findings on MRI or US investigation where the injury is defined as proximal, middle or distal by its location and then further defined as intramuscular, myofascial, myofascial/perifascial or myotendinous (Tab. 1). Although the recent classification system by Chan et al. has to be applauded for its simplicity and practicability, injured skeletal muscle poses extra challenges for physicians beyond proper diagnostics and classification of the injury. Namely, it has been recently shown that similar looking skeletal muscle injuries in MRI at the same anatomical site, but caused by different mechanism of action (either high-speed running or over-stretching), have completely different healing rates.

Delayed onset muscle soreness

**General.** The mildest type of muscle injury due to intrinsic sporting exercise is DOMS, an injury that all active sports people must have experienced. DOMS is commonly a consequence of an overtraining of untrained muscle, which is tolerated while engaged in that activity, but followed by muscle soreness 1-3 days after the exercise. This phenomenon strikes especially if the exercise involves plenty of eccentric work, i.e. lengthening of contracted muscles like in running downhill or squatting with weights.

**Clinical aspects.** The symptoms of stiffness, soreness and tenderness with palpation develop during the first 1-2 days with a peak on days 2 or 3, and they disappear usually with no treatment by days 5-7. The pain is aggravated by passive stretch of the sore muscle and the strength of the muscle is decreased. This is usually associated with a rise in serum creatine kinase (CK), which is usually modest but sometimes up to 20-fold. CK-value peaks around days 3 to 6 and usually returns to normal during the first week after the eccentric exercise. Inflammatory reaction has been reported in both experimental animals and in humans. The pain in DOMS is likely caused by soluble factors released from the inflammatory cells. Non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have been used to reduce the pain, but the relatively mild inflammation does not actually need any alleviation by treatment with NSAIDs.

**Pathogenesis of DOMS.** In human DOMS develops after eccentric work excessive for the fitness level of the muscle. In animal experiments the eccentric muscle contraction is repeated many times. But it has been shown that even a single eccentric stretch in rabbits may be sufficient to result in temporary reduced biomechanical capacity and to stimulate the dormant satellite cells to divide. Such an injury is, however, very mild since the offspring of the activated satellite cells did not seem to mature further into myoblasts expressing muscle specific proteins nor fuse with the parent myofiber. Even though DOMS is associated with CK rise, which must indicate some degree of sarcolemmal damage inducing leak of sarcoplasmic proteins, it has been demonstrated that in DOMS usually no frank necrosis of myofibers ensues. The main histological finding has been focal loss of the myofibrillar (sarcomeric) structures.

The pathobiology of muscle strains

In strains, the myofibers are exposed to such an excessive intrinsic tensile force that full blown shearing injury occurs, i.e. not only the myofibers rupture but also their basal lamina as well as myosial sheaths and blood vessels running in the endo- or perimysium are torn. The rupture is most commonly located close to the myotendinous junction (MTJ). This site is often not situated next to the proper tendons, since in muscles tapering towards their tendons, numerous MTJs are formed within the muscle belly, where the myofibers attach to the intramuscular fascia within the and round the muscle. The healing of a strain injury follows a fairly constant pattern, which is similar also in contusions or lacerations. As this process has been described in detail elsewhere, we will present this process only briefly (Fig. 1).

**Table 1. New clinical classification system for skeletal muscle injuries.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of lesion</th>
<th>1. Proximal MTJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Muscle</td>
<td>A. Proximal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Distal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Distal MTJ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MTJ – Myotendinous junction
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Figure 1. The regeneration of a shearing injury. (A) Torn myofiber and BL. (B) Contraction band and demarcation membrane seal the torn fiber ends. Satellite cells (SC) begin to proliferate and inflammation reaction begins. (C) SCs differentiate into myoblasts and fibroblasts begin to produce collagens and form scar tissue. (D) Myoblasts fuse into myotubes. (E) Myotubes fuse with the surviving parts of the torn fibers and start to form new MTJs. (F) Fully regenerated fiber with organized scar tissue and MTJs attached to it.

litic cells, are activated and begin the repair of the breached myofiber. Firstly, so called committed satellite cells begin to differentiate into myoblasts. Secondly, undifferentiated stem satellite cells begin to proliferate by 24 hours (Fig. 2) and thereafter contribute to the formation of myoblasts, at the same time providing new satellite cells by asymmetric cell division for future needs of regeneration. The myoblasts arising from the committed and stem satellite cells then fuse to form myotubes within a couple of days. Within 5-6 days the necrotized part of the ruptured myofiber inside the remaining old basal lamina is replaced by the regenerating myofiber, which then begins to penetrate into the connective tissue scar between the stumps of the ruptured myofibers (Fig. 1). The injury site is also revascularized by ingrowing capillaries with the first angiogenic capillary sprouts seen three days after the injury.

3) Remodeling phase. This is the period of maturation of the regenerating myofibers, which includes formation of a mature contractile apparatus and attachment of the ends of the regenerated myofibers to the intervening scar by newly formed MTJs (Fig. 3). The retraction of the scar pulls the ends closer to each other, but they appear to stay separated by a thin layer of connective tissue to which the ends remain attached by newly formed MTJs. The contraction of the large granulation to scar tissue is driven by fibroblasts transforming to the contraction capable myofibroblasts.

Physical inactivity and exercise during skeletal muscle regeneration

A short period of complete physical inactivity (carried out in the form of immobilization) following a shearing type of muscle injury is mandatory and beneficial for both the rapid as well as for the most complete recovery. The immobilization allows the early, loose connective tissue (granulation tissue) formed between and connecting the injured muscle stumps to each other to gain the required strength to withstand the contraction-induced forces applied on the regenerating tissue without a rerupture. However, immobilization should be restricted to last for less than a week, so that the adverse effects of immobility per se are limited to minimum. Reruptures at the site of the original muscle trauma as well as large permanent scar tissue are common if active mobilization is begun immediately after the injury. By placing the injured muscle at rest for the first 4-6 days after the injury, the excessive scar formation and reruptures at the injury site can be best prevented.
Avoiding reruptures is important, as it has been shown that the reruptures are actually the most severe skeletal muscle injuries which cause the longest lost time from sport activity\(^1\)\(^8\). The initial immobilization must be followed by active rehabilitation. Early mobilization as the acute treatment of muscle trauma was first recommended – largely based on his vast personal experience in sports medicine – by Dr. Woodard in 1953\(^1\)\(^9\). Today, this empirical notion is supported by a considerable amount of experimental evidence\(^4\),\(^1\)\(^5\)-\(^2\)\(^1\). Thus, the mobilization of the injured skeletal muscle should be started gradually (i.e. within the limits of pain) as soon as possible as the early mobilization has been shown to best expedite and intensify the regeneration phase of the injured skeletal muscle fibers as well as induce angiogenesis, i.e. blood supply to the injured area. The exercise is also crucial for the regeneration on the molecular level as quantified with the induction of appropriate molecules for regeneration and correct orientation of the regenerating myofibers\(^4\),\(^1\)\(^5\)-\(^2\)\(^1\).

**Clinical practice in rehabilitation of injured muscle**

**Immediate treatment - the “RICE”- principle**

The immediate treatment of the injured skeletal muscle (or any soft-tissue injury, for that matter) is known as the “RICE” principle: Rest, Ice (cold), Compression and Elevation. The overall justification for the use of this RICE-principle is very practical, as all these four means aim to minimize bleeding into the injury site. It needs to be stressed that there is not a single randomized, clinical trial to validate the effectiveness of the RICE-principle in the treatment of soft tissue injury\(^2\)\(^2\). However, there is scientific proof for the appropriateness of the distinct components of the concept, the evidence being derived largely from experimental studies. The most persuasive proof for the use of rest has
been obtained from studies on the effects of immobilization on muscle healing. By placing the injured extremity to rest immediately after the trauma, one can prevent further retraction of the muscle stumps (the formation of a large gap within the muscle), reduce the size of the hematoma, and subsequently, the size of the connective tissue scar. Regarding the use of cold on injured skeletal muscle, it has been shown that early use of cryotherapy is associated with a significantly smaller hematoma between the ruptured myofiber stumps, less inflammation and tissue necrosis, and somewhat accelerated early regeneration. According to the most recent data on topic, icing of the injured skeletal muscle should continue for an extended period of time (6 hours) to obtain substantial effect on limiting the hemorrhage and tissue necrosis at the site of the injury. Although compression reduces the intramuscular blood flow into the injured area, it is debatable whether compression applied immediately after the injury accelerates the healing of the injured skeletal muscle. Finally, concerning the last component of the RICE, the elevation, the rationale for its use is merely based on the basic principles of physiology and traumatology; the elevation of an injured extremity above the level of heart results in a decrease in hydrostatic pressure, and subsequently, reduces the accumulation of interstitial fluid.

Figure 4. Comparison of skeletal muscle healing by immobilization vs active, early mobilization. The injured muscle were immobilization by cast for two after which the cast were removed in the mobilization group (MO) and the exercise protocol was started. The cast immobilization continued in the IMMO-group until day 21. As can be seen, the injured skeletal muscle regains the strength fast when the active early mobilization is started, whereas no regeneration takes place if the inactivity (immobilization) persists highlighting the importance of the active rehabilitation of the injured skeletal muscle.

Return to sports-specific training

As highlighted above, the most crucial decision in the treatment of patients with injured skeletal muscle is to decide when the injured muscle can be remobilized without causing a rerupture. In clinical practice the decision must be based on all the information about the injurious event as well as the site, quality and severity of the injury.

Up until recently, we did not have reliable measures for predicting the down-time of the athlete after the serious muscle injury. Guillodo et al. showed that four simple measures in the clinical examination performed 3-5 days after the injury predict long recovery from the muscle injury (recovery period > four weeks); bruising/hematoma, tenderness to palpation, lack of complete range-of-motion and pain during isometric limb lengthening. While it has shown in case of hamstring injuries that the athletes taking more than one day to walk painless after the hamstring injury were significantly more likely to take longer than 3 weeks to return to competition than those able to resume normal walking. A severe deficit (>30 °) in a knee range-of-motion identifies those athletes likely to have substantial lay-off period after their hamstring injury. Large prospective European study has shown than whenever structural damage is identified
in MRI, the return to competition takes significantly longer than without any structural damage seen in MRI and the length of lay-off time also relates to the severity of the damage in clinical examination. In line with this finding, Mallaropoulos et al. showed that the degree of deficit in knee range-of-motion predicts the lay-off time after hamstring injury. The injury recurrence, in turn, has been shown to be more common in athletes reporting a previous hamstring injury in the same muscle within past 12 months indicating that previous skeletal muscle injury is a risk factor for subsequent problems for quite a long time. Interestingly, it seems that mild injuries lead to re-injury even more commonly than the most severe ones, highlighting the point that even the mild skeletal muscle injuries should be considered cautiously. Furthermore, it has also showed that clinical examination has the same predictive value as MRI in determining the return to competitive sports. Thus, the decision regarding the appropriate timing of the return to sports-specific training can be based on two simple and inexpensive measures: 1) The ability to stretch the injured muscle as much as the healthy contralateral muscle. 2) The pain-free use of the injured muscle in basic movements.

Operative treatment

One should exercise extreme caution in considering surgical intervention in the treatment of muscle injuries, as a properly executed conservative treatment results in a good outcome in most cases. In fact, the phrase “Muscle Injuries Do Heal Conservatively” could be used as a guiding principle in the treatment of muscle traumas. Having said that, there are certain highly specific indications, in which surgical intervention might actually be beneficial. These include an athlete with a large intramuscular hematoma(s), a complete (III degree) strain or tear of a muscle with few or no agonist muscle, or II degree strain if more than half of the muscle belly is torn. We want to emphasize importance of recognizing those rare muscle injuries that require surgery very early, because operative treatment performed within three weeks of injury provides significantly better outcome than when the operation is postponed beyond that point. Furthermore, there are certain chronic situations where surgical intervention should also be considered; if the patient complains of persisting extension pain (duration > 4 – 6 months) in a previously injured muscle, particularly if the pain is accompanied with a clear extension deficit. In such a case, one has to suspect the formation of scar adhesions restricting the movement of the muscle at the site of the injury, a phenomenon that often requires surgical deliberation of the scar adhesions.

The duration of immobilization naturally depends on the severity of the trauma, but patients with a complete rupture of the m. quadriceps femoris or gastrocnemius are instructed not to bear any weight for four weeks, but cautious stretching of the operated muscle within the limits of pain is allowed already at two weeks postoperatively. Four weeks postoperatively, the weight-bearing and mobilization of the extremity are gradually begun and approximately six weeks after the surgery, there is no need to restrict the weight-bearing at all.

Therapeutic alternatives

Medication

Similar to many of the issues regarding the most appropriate treatment of muscle traumas, there are few controlled studies in humans on the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or glucocorticoids in the treatment of muscle injuries in humans. However, one study exists on the use of NSAIDs in the treatment of in-situ-necrosis, (i.e. injury in which only myofibers are damaged, but the connective tissue sheaths remain intact). In this less severe type of muscle injury, a short-term use of the NSAIDs resulted in a transient improvement on the recovery from exercised-induced muscle injury. Despite the lack of direct human evidence, the effects of NSAIDs have been quite well documented experimentally. A short-term use of different NSAIDs in the early phase of healing has been shown to lead to a decrease in the inflammatory cell reaction with no adverse effects on the healing process, on the tensile strength or ability of the injured muscle to contract. Furthermore, the NSAIDs do not delay the myofiber regeneration. While the early short-term use of NSAIDs can be considered a relatively well-justified treatment, the situation seems to be completely opposite concerning the glucocorticoids. Despite the beneficial effects reported for the intramuscular corticosteroid injections in the poorly controlled clinical study, experimental studies have shown conclusively that a delayed elimination of the hematoma and large necrosis of the skeletal muscle tissue, retardation of the muscle regeneration process, and ultimately, reduced biomechanical strength of the injured muscle all take place with the use of glucocorticoids in the treatment of muscle injuries.

Therapeutic ultrasound

Therapeutic ultrasound (US) is widely recommended and also used in the treatment of muscle injuries, although the scientific evidence obtained from animal studies on its effectiveness can not be considered promising. In addition to the fact that the micro-massage produced by high-frequency US waves apparently works as a pain relief, it has been proposed that US could somehow enhance the initial stage of muscle regeneration. However, despite the apparent promotion of the proliferation phase of the myoregeneration, therapeutic US unfortunately does not seem to have a positive (muscle healing enhancing) effect on the final outcome of muscle healing.
Hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBO)

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBO) has been proposed as another promising therapeutic option to improve the regeneration of the injured skeletal muscle. An experimental study showed that the use of HBO applied during the early phase of the repair considerably accelerated the recovery of the injured skeletal muscle. Despite the positive animal study, a recent meta-analysis on HBO and soft tissue injuries showed that not a single randomized prospective study has been performed in the treatment of severe skeletal muscle injuries by HBO. Actually, this meta-analysis disclosed that HBO might increase sensation of pain in less severe form of muscle injury i.e. delayed onset muscle soreness (DOMS or in situ necrosis). Thus, there is a complete lack clinical studies on the effects of HBO on severe muscle injuries and the clinical trials on less severe injuries fail to show beneficial effects of the HBO in the treatment of muscle or other types of soft tissue injuries in athletes.

Complications—myositis ossificans traumatica

Myositis ossificans is a nonneoplastic proliferation of bone and cartilage within the skeletal muscle at the site of a previous single major trauma or repeated injury or/and hematoma. Being a relatively rare complication of muscle injury, the scientifically valid evidence regarding either the pathogenesis or the most optimal treatment is virtually non-existing. In sports, myositis ossificans is typically associated with prior sports-related muscle injury, the incidence being the highest in the high-contact sports in which the use of protective devices is uncommon (e.g. rugby). Increased susceptibility to myositis ossificans has also been described in individuals with hemophilia or other bleeding disorders in conjunction with a soft-tissue injury. Clinically, myositis ossificans should be suspected if pain and swelling are not clearly subsiding 10–14 days after an injury to a skeletal muscle or if the healing does not seem to progress normally despite the execution of a proper conservative treatment. One should be particularly alert, if the symptoms intensify weeks (or months) after the trauma, especially if the site of injury becomes more indurated and the injured extremity displays reduced joint range of motion (ROM). Although it is sometimes possible to detect the first signs of the ectopic bone in the radiographs as early as 18 to 21 days after the injury, the formation of ectopic bone usually lags behind the symptoms by weeks, and thus, a definite radiographic diagnosis can be made substantially later. Due to its rarity, the treatment principles of myositis ossificans base even more on the empirical experience than on the clinical or experimental evidence than any other type of muscle complaint. The proper first aid of muscle trauma (the prevention of formation of a large hematoma) naturally creates the foundation for the treatment of this complication. However, if the myositis ossificans still occurs despite the best prevention efforts, there is little that can or should be done in the acute phase. Although indomethacin is quite commonly used in orthopaedics in preventing heterotopic ossification, it has not been validated for the prevention and/or treatment of myositis ossificans. The surgical excision of the bone mass can be considered at later phases, if the symptoms do not subside despite 12 months of watchful waiting. However, according to our experience, surgery should not be performed until the ectopic bone has fully “matured”, which is 12–24 months after the onset of the symptoms, as the excision of immature bone often results in local recurrence. Overall, the myositis ossificans could be considered to underscore the importance of proper initial treatment of athletes with muscle injury. Despite the fact that a great majority of muscle injuries heal virtually irrespective of the primary treatment, compromised healing of muscle injury (myositis ossificans) results in a delay in return to sports that is highly comparable — and often even longer — than that associated with the failed treatment of other sports-related major injuries.

Conclusions

Until recently only few clinical studies existed on the treatment of muscle injuries, and thus, the current treatment principles of muscle injuries were mostly based on experimental studies. However, with the recent surge of the published RCTs on injured skeletal muscle some foundation of knowledge can be derived from these well executed studies. Clinically, the first aid of muscle injuries follows the RICE principle (Rest, Ice, Compression and Elevation), the principle common to the treatment of any soft-tissue trauma. The objective of the use of the RICE is to stop the intramuscular bleeding and thereby limit the progression of the muscle injury to a minimum. Clinical examination should be carried out immediately after the trauma and 1-3 days thereafter, at which point the imaging modalities (MRI or ultrasound) can provide useful insights into the severity of the injury and the injury classified according to the new classification scheme (Tab. 1). During the first few days after the injury, a short period of immobilization accelerates the formation of granulation tissue at the site of injury, but it should be noted that the duration of reduced activity (immobilization) ought to be limited only until the scar reaches sufficient strength to bear the muscle-contraction induced pulling forces without re-rupture. At this point, gradual mobilization should be started followed by a progressively intensified exercise program to optimize the healing by restoring the strength of the injured muscle, preventing the muscle atrophy, the loss of strength and the extensibility, all of which can follow prolonged immobilization. Based on the current knowledge, the rehabilitation program should consist of progressive agility and trunk stabilization exercises as well as exercised tailored to lengthen (eccentric exercises) the injured skeletal muscle.
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