Estimating the Dynamic Ratio of the Lateral/Medial Hamstrings. A Case Control Study
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INTRODUCTION

The hamstring muscles comprise the biceps femoris muscle laterally and the semimembranosus and semitendinosus muscles medially. Crossing both the hip and knee joints, they produce both hip extension and knee flexion. Muscle strain injuries of this group are common in running based sports and are the most frequently reported injury in track and field (1). At the 2011 IAAF World Championships, hamstring strains were the most common injury, with 67% of them resulting in absence from sport. Increased repeated use of the hamstring was the predominant cause of injury (1) and approximately
80% of hamstring strains involve the long head of the biceps femoris (2).

A systematic review of hamstring muscle strain injuries in sport found the most consistent risk factors for this injury to be intrinsic factors such as age, previous hamstring injury and an increase in quadriceps peak torque (3-5). The available evidence for hamstring weakness as a risk factor for injury is conflicting: a recent meta-analysis did not support strength deficit as a risk factor (3), but the aetiology of hamstring injuries is multifactorial (6), and hamstring strengthening is an important component of injury prevention programmes (7).

Isokinetic dynamometry is widely used in the assessment of the hamstring strength (8). In 614 soccer players (9) there was a small but significant association between lower hamstring eccentric strength and increased risk of injury. Using isokinetic strength testing, side to side differences in hamstring peak torque and decreased strength of the hamstrings to quadriceps, or H:Q ratio, have been suggested as risk factors for hamstring injury (10). Although it is difficult to generalise and no definite consensus exists, the normal H:Q ratio is considered to be 50% to 80% over the full range of knee motion (11). A side-to-side difference of > 10% is generally considered abnormal (12). In 6 sprinters with an acute hamstring injury, Sugiura et al. reported a significantly decreased eccentric and concentric peak torque in the injured vs non-injured limb and in H:Q ratio (13).

Electromyography (EMG) records and quantifies the electrical activity associated with contracting skeletal muscle fibres (14). Each of the hamstring muscles has different properties in terms of architecture (15) and contraction activation patterns on EMG, depending on whether they act as knee flexors (16) or hip extensors (17). Heterogeneous hamstring activation patterns are evident during different strength training exercises and during the gait cycle or sprinting (18), indicating complex neuromuscular coordination patterns. Previously injured hamstrings exhibit altered muscle activation patterns, with earlier EMG onsets for both the biceps femoris and medial hamstrings in preparation for single leg standing compared to the control group (19). In contrast, the rate of torque development and onset of muscle activity as measured by EMG is not associated with future hamstring injury (20). Using functional MRI, a more symmetrical recruitment pattern between the hamstring muscles has been suggested to contribute to the risk of hamstring injury (22). Thus, it is important to clarify more the role and kinetics of the medial and lateral hamstrings, known that they also act as medial and lateral rotators of the tibia respectively, during the swing face and stabilizers of the tibia during the stance face of gait and running. The optimal dynamic ratio of muscle activation between the medial and lateral hamstrings during contraction in the uninjured population with no strength imbalances is not known. The present investigation aims to estimate the hamstrings dynamic ratio (HDR) between the mean muscle activation of the lateral (biceps femoris longus, BFL) and medial (semitendinosus, ST) hamstrings during a maximal voluntary isometric contraction. This information may be used to prevent hamstrings unilateral overuse and secure their kinetic activation and function.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participant characteristics

Eighteen male elite track and field athletes (ten sprinters, five long jumpers, three triple jumpers; mean age 24.4 ± 4.1 years; mean height of 177.4 ± 6.5 cm; mean body mass 78.8 ± 5.6 kg) from the Greek national team gave their written informed consent to participate in this study. None of them had reported a hamstring injury during the previous 6 months according to the clinic archives. Informed consent has been obtained from all individuals included in the study. The current study has been complied with the ethical standards of the journal (23) and all the relevant national regulations, institutional policies and in accordance the tenets of the Helsinki Declaration, and has been approved by the ethics committee of the Greek Track and Field Federation.

Methodology

The participants executed an isokinetic test to estimate the dynamic ratio between the Flexors and Extensors of the knee joint (H:Q ratio). Following that, an EMG of the biceps femoris longus and semitendinosus muscles during maximal voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC) was performed. The hamstrings dynamic ratio (HDR) was determined from the individuals who presented a isokinetic H: Q ratio within the range 55-70% and with a side to side difference in the ratios no greater than 6 units.

Isokinetic test

An isokinetic dynamometer (CSMI, Lumex, Ronkonkoma, NY, USA) was used. The participants completed a warm up of 10 minutes of submaximal cycling and 10 minutes of static stretching of the thigh muscles (quadriceps/hamstrings and adduction/abduction), preceded by familiarization with the isokinetic dynamometer with five submaximal repetitions performed at low, medium and high angular velocities (90-120-180°/sec). The subjects were strapped in the dynamometer according to standard practice. The range
of motion was set at 120° (0° full extension). The angular velocity of both flexion and extension was set at 60°/sec, for 5 repetitions at the highest possible effort. Visual (screen) and verbal (tester) feedback were used to encourage that each athlete performed at his maximum.

EMG recording
EMG recording was performed using the 8-channel Biomonitor ME6000 (Mega Electronics Ltd, Linton, UK). Prior to EMG recording, the skin of each participant was shaved, sand-papered, and carefully cleaned with 70% alcohol. The biceps femoris longus and semitendinosus muscles were sampled, as they are the most commonly affected muscles in case of injury to the posterior thigh muscles. Disposable pre-gelled self-adhesive bipolar surface electrodes (Ag/AgCl, 0.8 cm in diameter, Blue Sensor N-00-S, Medicotest A/S, Ølstykke, Denmark) were placed on the leg with an inter-electrode distance of 2 cm in accordance with SENIAM (Surface ElectroMyoFigurey for the Non-Invasive Assessment of Muscle) guidelines (http://www.seniam.org) (figure 1). This protocol was used to measure the electrical activity of the relevant muscle during MVIC. Each participant was given a detailed presentation of the procedure for the MVICs and allowed to familiarise with the isometric test on the isokinetic dynamometer. The participants were prone, with the knee stabilized at 45° of flexion, with neutral rotation of the tibia. The subjects performed two or three isometric contractions at almost 50% of maximum perceptual effort as a warm up. During the actual test, they performed three MVICs lasting five seconds each, with a five second rest between each contraction. The EMG signal (µV) from the two recorded channels was averaged. Standardized verbal encouragement and visual feedback from the monitor was given to each participant. After a root-mean-square (µV) adjustment, the trial with the highest averaged EMG signal was chosen, and then the 80% value of the last 3 seconds of this trial, was used as the final MVIC value (figure 2). The hamstrings dynamic ratio (HDR) between the BFL and ST muscles was calculated based on the BFLEMG/STEMG percentage value.

Statistical analysis

Group separation for comparison analysis
Based on the isokinetic H: Q ratio, the participants were separated in two groups: Group 1 (N = 10): athletes with a H: Q ratio range 55-70% and no side to side difference of the ratios more than 6 units; and Group 2 (N = 8) athletes with a H: Q ratio outside of the 55-70% range and/or a side to side difference of the ratios greater than 6 units (figures 3, 4 A). Descriptive statistics were performed to calculate mean/median/range/percentiles and SD of the (HDR) and Isokinetic H:Q ratio, for each group. A paired samples t-test was also performed, between the groups, for each variable.

RESULTS
The athletes in Group 1 had a dynamic ratio of BFL/ST, based on the EMG, of 78.4 (SD = 5.1). The mean BFL/ST
Figure 3. H:Q Isokinetic ratio in Group 1 (A) and in Group 2 (B).

Figure 4. (A) Isokinetic H:Q ratio for the Right and Left leg between groups. (B) Hamstring dynamic ratio (HDR): medians and range between groups.
The main result of the present study is that, in elite uninjured track and field athletes with H:Q ratios within the normal range and no side-to-side asymmetry, the HDR of BFL/ST muscle activity during isometric hamstring contraction is around 78%. Although not significantly different in this population of elite track and field athletes in the present investigation, the HDR in athletes with strength asymmetries or H:Q ratios out of the normal range were lower, around 69%.

These results are in part in keeping with the study by Scheurmans et al. (21), who used functional MRI to assess individual hamstring muscle activation during eccentric exercise. In that investigation, the biceps femoris and semitendinosus muscles engage in complex synergistic activation patterns during eccentric exercises, with more symmetrical degrees of activation in the injured group, together with higher overall levels of metabolic activity in the hamstring group. This would suggest that a more symmetrical muscle activation pattern during a hamstring task may imply a less efficient maladaptive compensatory mechanism.

**DISCUSSION**

The lateral to medial ratio difference in our population may imply a change in muscle activation patterns in those athletes possibly more prone to hamstring injury, as suggested by altered H:Q ratios and side to side strength asymmetries. Obviously, the design of the study does not allow to ascertain which factor is causative or reactive. However, the present study contributes to a greater understanding of the characters of hamstring muscles contraction in healthy athletes.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A proposed HDR of 78% between lateral to medial hamstrings could be used as a risk factor for hamstring injuries in athletes with hamstring muscle imbalances. Better characterisation of the normal patterns of hamstring muscle activation will allow targeted rehabilitation to address specific neuromuscular coordination patterns, using exercises that preferentially target individual muscles of the hamstring group.
Limitations
In the present study, we did not investigate the pattern of activation of the semimembranosus muscle (SM), which acts together with the semitendinosus as a medial knee flexor. This was because of the difficulty to collect clear EMG signal from this muscle. We therefore assumed that the dynamic EMG outputs collected from the ST muscle are representative of the activity of the SM, given their analogous mechanical and physiological function.
We acknowledge that the current study was relatively small. However, they were all elite track and field athletes who regularly competed in international competitions up to world and Olympic level. In this respect, it would be difficult to recruit a larger population. We nevertheless acknowledge that further work to examine muscle activation during hamstring contractions with larger cohorts is needed. Additionally, recent literature (24) has shown different incidence rate and different hamstring injury distribution in younger athletic population, and therefore the results could be different in according to the age of the athletes. Another limitation is that the study entry criteria did not exclude other peripheral injuries in the ipsilateral lower extremity, which could be a factor of potential alterations in hamstring muscle activity (25).
In the future, it would be interesting to examine not only the amount of muscle activity but the timing of muscle activity as well. EMG would allow assessing the timing of muscle activation to be assessed, an advantage over functional MRI. Then, following athletes longitudinally would allow understanding whether altered synergistic relationship between the medial and lateral hamstrings on isokinetic testing is associated with injury development. However, such study would necessitate large number of athletes, and relatively long periods of observation.
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